


JUDGMENT

FILED: September 3, 1998

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

for the
Fourth Circuit

NO. 98-6570
CA-96-436-5



FREDERICK SHAWNEE CARTER

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

LEE JONES, Gauley Bridge City Police Chief; TOWN OF GAULEY
BRIDGE, WEST VIRGINIA

Defendants - Appellees

and

FAYETTE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, Sheriff; MITCH
CANTERBURY, Fayette County Sheriff's Deputy; JIM SIZEMORE,
Fayette County Sheriff's Deputy; PETE LOPEZ, Fayette County
Sheriff's Deputy; LAWRENCE WASHINGTON, Fayette County
Sheriff's Deputy; EVERETTE STEELE, Fayette County Sheriff's
Deputy; CHUCK MOSES, Fayette County Sheriff's Deputy

Defendants

Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Southern District of West Virginia at Beckley

In accordance with the written opinion of this Court filed this
day, the Court affirms the judgment of the District Court.

/s/ Patricia S. Connor

CLERK

A True Copy, Teste:

Patricia S. Connor, Clerk


BY Deputy Clerk

Deputy Clerk

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 98-6570



FREDERICK SHAWNEE CARTER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

LEE JONES, Gauley Bridge City Police Chief;
TOWN OF GAULEY BRIDGE, WEST VIRGINIA,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

FAYETTE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, Sheriff;
MITCH CANTERBURY, Fayette County Sheriff's
Deputy; JIM SIZEMORE, Fayette County Sheriff's
Deputy; PETE LOPEZ, Fayette County Sheriff's
Deputy; LAWRENCE WASHINGTON, Fayette County
Sheriff's Deputy; EVERETTE STEELE, Fayette
County Sheriff's Deputy; CHUCK MOSES, Fayette
County Sheriff's Deputy,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern
District of West Virginia, at Beckley. David A. Faber, District
Judge. (CA-96-436-5)

Submitted: August 13, 1998

Decided: September 3, 1998

Before WIDENER and WILKINS, Circuit Judges, and HALL, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Frederick Shawnee Carter, Appellant Pro Se. Steven Paul McGowan, Jeffrey Kent Phillips, STEPTOE & JOHNSON, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1998) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the magistrate judge's recommendation and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Carter v. Jones, No. CA-96-436-5 (S.D.W. Va. Dec. 22, 1997). Furthermore, we deny Appellant's motions for the production of documents. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED